arXiv:1207.2441v2 [hep-th] 16 Aug 2012

Pure spinors in AdS and Lie algebra cohomology

Andrei Mikhailov

Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista
R. Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz 271, Bloco II – Barra Funda
CEP:01140-070 – São Paulo, Brasil

Abstract

We show that the BRST cohomology of the massless sector of the Type IIB superstring on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ can be described as the relative cohomology of an infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra. We explain how the vertex operators of ghost number 1, which correspond to conserved currents, are described in this language. We also give some algebraic description of the ghost number 2 vertices, which appears to be new.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Pure spinor formulation of the SUSY Maxwell theory **2**
- 2.1 Supersymmetric space-time and basic constraints 2
- 2.2 Definition of the Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{L}$. 3

3 Lie algebra cohomology and solutions of the SUSY Maxwell theory **3**
- 3.1 Vacuum solution 3
- 3.2 Deformations of solutions and cohomology 4
- 3.3 Koszul duality and its application to deformations 5

4 Type IIB SUGRA in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ **6**
- 4.1 BRST complex 6
- 4.2 Lie algebra formed by the covariant derivatives 8
- 4.3 Lie algebra cohomology 9
- 4.4 An analogue of the Koszul resolution 11
- 4.5 Reduction to the cohomology of the ideal $I \subset \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}$ 12
- 4.6 Ghost number 1: global symmetry currents 14
- 4.7 Ghost number 2: vertex operators 17
- 4.8 Higher ghost numbers 18
- 4.9 Comment on the cohomology of $\mathcal{L}^L$ 18
- 4.10 Flat space limit 19

A Exactness of (62) **20**

1 Introduction

Pure spinor formalism [1] is a generalization of the BRST formalism with the ghost fields constrained to satisfy a nonlinear (quadratic) equation. A crucial role in the formalism is played by the special property of this equation, the so-called Koszulity — see [2] and references therein. The formalism of Koszul duality was extensively used in the study of the algebraic properties of the supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories in [3, 4], and in the classification of the possible deformations of these theories in [5].

In this paper we will apply this formalism to study the BRST cohomology of the massless sector of the Type IIB superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$. The BRST cohomology counts infinitesimal deformations of the background $AdS_5 \times S^5$, a.k.a "linearized excitations" or "gravitational waves". From the point of view of the string worldsheet theory, they are identified with the *vertex operators*. We show that the cohomology of the BRST complex is equivalent to some relative Lie algebra cohomology. This allows us to fully classify the vertex operators of the ghost number 1 (which correspond to the densities of the local conserved charges), and to give a general Lie-algebraic description of the vertex operators of the ghost number two. The classification of the vertex operators in the ghost number 1 was done, at least partially, in the Appendix of our previous paper [6]; the method which we suggest here appears more elegant.

We will start in Sections 2, 3 with the application of Koszul duality to the ten-dimensional supersymmetric Maxwell theory. In Section 4 we apply a similar method to the study of linearized Type IIB SUGRA in $AdS_5 \times S^5$.

2 Pure spinor formulation of the SUSY Maxwell theory

2.1 Supersymmetric space-time and basic constraints

Here we will remind the superspace descirption of the classical supersymmetric Maxwell theory in 10 dimensions. The superspace is formed by 10 bosonic coordinates x^m and 16 fermionic coordinates θ^α. This is the supersymmetric space-time, we will call it M:

$$M = \mathbf{R}^{10|16} \tag{1}$$

The basic superfield is the vector potential $A_\alpha(x,\theta)$. For every $\alpha \in \{1,\ldots,16\}$, the corresponding A_α is a scalar function:

$$A_\alpha \;:\; M \to \mathbf{R} \tag{2}$$

The equations of motion of the theory are encoded in the following construction. Let us consider the "covariant derivatives":

$$\nabla_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} + \Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta}\theta^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} + A_\alpha(x,\theta) \tag{3}$$

Impose the following *constraint*:

- There exists a differential operator $\nabla_m = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} + A_m(x,\theta)$ such that:

$$\{\nabla_\alpha, \nabla_\beta\} = \Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_m \tag{4}$$

The nontrivial requirement of the constraint is that the LHS of (4) is proportional to $\Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta}$; with this requirement met (4) becomes the definition of ∇_m.

2.2 Definition of the Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{L}$.

Now let us forget Eq. (3) and consider the Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{L}$ generated by the letters ∇_α with the relation (4). This is an infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra. It turns out that some properties of the SUSY Maxwell theory can be described in terms of this algebra $\mathcal{L}$. In the next Section we will describe an application of the cohomology of $\mathcal{L}$.

3 Lie algebra cohomology and solutions of the SUSY Maxwell theory

3.1 Vacuum solution

Let us consider the vacuum solution $A_\alpha(x,\theta) = 0$. In this case $\nabla_\alpha = \nabla^{(0)}_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} + \Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta}\theta^\beta\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}$. The vacuum solution is invariant under the supersymmetry algebra **susy** generated by the operators S_α:

$$S_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} - \Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta}\theta^\beta\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} \tag{5}$$

We observe that $\{S_\alpha, \nabla^{(0)}_\alpha\} = 0$, and in this sense the vacuum solution is **susy**-invariant. It turns out that the operators $\nabla^{(0)}$ themselves generate the same (isomorphic) algebra **susy** as do S_α. This can be explained using the interpretation of M as the coset space of **susy**. Let us consider the abstract algebra **susy** generated by t^{odd}_α and t^{even}_m with the commutation relations:

$$\{t^{\text{odd}}_\alpha, t^{\text{odd}}_\beta\} = \Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta}t^{\text{even}}_m \tag{6}$$

and other commutators all zero. Let us interpret x^m and θ^α as coordinates on the group manifold of the corresponding Lie group:

$$g = \exp(\theta^\alpha t^{\text{odd}}_\alpha + x^m t^{\text{even}}_m) \tag{7}$$

Then ∇_α acts as the multiplication by $t^{\rm odd}_\alpha$ on the left, and S_α as the multiplication by $t^{\rm odd}_\alpha$ on the right. We can consider the universal enveloping algebra $U\mathbf{susy}$ as a representation of **susy**, by the left multiplication. Then the regular representation can be considered as its dual, which will be denoted $(U\mathbf{susy})'$.

Relation between $\mathcal{L}$ and susy. There is an ideal $I \subset \mathcal{L}$ such that the factoralgebra over this ideal is **susy**:

$$\mathcal{L}/I = \mathbf{susy} \tag{8}$$

The basic constraint (4) actually implies the existence of W^α such that[1]:

$$[\nabla_\alpha, \nabla_m] = \Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta} W^\beta \tag{9}$$

This W^α is the element of I, because if ∇_α were the generators of the 10-dimensional supersymmetry algebra, then W^α would be zero.

3.2 Deformations of solutions and cohomology

The deformation of the given solution $A_\alpha(x,\theta)$ is:

$$A_\alpha \mapsto A_\alpha + \delta A_\alpha \tag{10}$$

where δA_α should satisfy:

$$\{\nabla_\alpha, \delta A_\beta\} = \Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta}\delta A_m \tag{11}$$

The fact that the LHS is proportional to $\Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta}$ is a nontrivial constraint on δA_β, and if it is satisfied than (11) becomes the definition of δA_m.

Let us introduce *pure spinors* λ^α satisfying:

$$\lambda^\alpha \Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta} \lambda^\beta = 0 \tag{12}$$

Using these pure spinors, Eq. (11) can be written:

$$Qv = 0 \tag{13}$$

$$\texttt{where } Q = \lambda^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \tag{14}$$

$$\texttt{and } v = \lambda^\alpha \delta A_\alpha \tag{15}$$

Therefore the problem of classifying the infinitesimal deformations of the vacuum solution is reduced to the computation of the cohomology of Q.

---

[1] A thorough investigation of the consequences of the basic constraint (4) can be found in [7]

3.3 Koszul duality and its application to deformations

Let us consider a representation V of the Lie algebra **susy**, and the following version of the BRST complex:

$$\ldots \stackrel{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}}{\longrightarrow} V \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{P}^n \stackrel{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}}{\longrightarrow} V \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{P}^{n+1} \stackrel{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}}{\longrightarrow} \ldots \tag{16}$$

where $\mathcal{P}^n$ is the space of polynomial functions of degree n on the pure spinors λ^α. A representation V of **susy** is also a representation of $\mathcal{L}$, because $\mathbf{susy} = \mathcal{L}/I$. Koszul duality[2] implies that the cohomology of (16) coincides with the Lie algebra cohomology of $\mathcal{L}$:

$$H^n(Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}\ ;\ V) = H^n(\mathcal{L}\ ;\ V) \tag{17}$$

The general statement is that the following sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\,(U\mathcal{L}, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(U\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}^1\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(U\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}^2\right) \longrightarrow \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

is exact, and therefore provides an injective resolution of the $U\mathcal{L}$-module $\mathbf{C}$. This automatically implies that the following complex provides an injective resolution of V:

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\,(U\mathcal{L}\ ,\ V) \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(U\mathcal{L}\ ,\ \mathcal{P}^1 \otimes V\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(U\mathcal{L}\ ,\ \mathcal{P}^2 \otimes V\right) \longrightarrow \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

In this complex, the Koszul differential only acts on $U\mathcal{L}$ (from the left) and on $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$:

$$(df)(\xi, \lambda) = \lambda^\alpha f(\nabla_\alpha \xi, \lambda) \tag{20}$$

The $U\mathcal{L}$ acts on $U\mathcal{L}$ (from the right) and on V, *i.e.* for $\eta \in \mathcal{L}$:

$$(\eta.f)(\xi, \lambda) = \rho(\eta) f(\xi, \lambda) + f(\xi\eta, \lambda) \tag{21}$$

where $\rho(\eta)$ is the action of η in V, as V is a $U\mathcal{L}$-module.

Notice that $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}^n$ is *a commutative algebra with quadratic relations.* This algebra is Koszul dual to *the universal enveloping of a Lie algebra* $U\mathcal{L}$.

---

[2] A nice review can be found in the introductory part of [2]; cohomology with coefficients in a representation was not considered in [2], but it was discussed in [5]

The cohomology problem described in Section 3.2 corresponds to the particular case of $V = (U\mathbf{susy})'$. Because of the Koszul duality, this is equivalent to the computation of the Lie algebra cohomology:

$$H^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, (U\mathbf{susy})') \tag{22}$$

Notice that $\mathbf{susy} = \mathcal{L}/I$ and therefore $(U\mathbf{susy})'$ is naturally a representation of $\mathcal{L}$, by the left multiplication. To calculate this cohomology, we notice that the following complex:

$$\ldots \longrightarrow U\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \Lambda^2 I \longrightarrow U\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} I \longrightarrow U\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow U\mathbf{susy} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{23}$$

is a free resolution of $U\mathbf{susy}$ as a $U\mathcal{L}$-module. This means that:

$$H^n(\mathcal{L}, (U\mathbf{susy})') = H^n(I, \mathbf{C}) \tag{24}$$

More specifically, the ghost number one vertex operator $\lambda^\alpha \delta A_\alpha$ corresponds to the first cohomology:

$$H^1(I, \mathbf{C}) = \left(\frac{I}{[I,I]} \right)' \tag{25}$$

This has the following physical interpretation. The space $\frac{I}{[I,I]}$ can be identified with the space of field strengths. Then (25) tells us that the classical solutions are linear functionals on the space of field strengths. Indeed, given a classical solution, we can compute the value of the field strenght on this classical solution. Therefore, the space of classical solutions is expected to be dual to the space of field strengths, as we indeed observe in (25).

Explicit description of $\frac{I}{[I,I]}$ Elements W^α of I were introduced in Eq. (9). Consider the projection of W^α to $I/[I,I]$, *i.e.* $W^\alpha \bmod [I,I]$. We conjecture that all the other elements of $I/[I,I]$ can be obtained from W^α by commuting with ∇_α, *i.e.* acting with $\mathbf{susy}$. This means that all the gauge invariant operators at the linearized level are W^α and its derivatives.

4 Type IIB SUGRA in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

4.1 BRST complex

The BRST complex of Type IIB SUGRA in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ [8, 9, 10] is based on the coset space G/G_0 where G is the Lie supergroup corresponding to the Lie superalgebra $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{psu}(2,2|4)$ and G_0 is the subgroup corresponding to $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}} = so(1,4) \oplus so(5)$. A $\mathbf{Z}_4$ grading of $\mathbf{g}$ plays an important role. The generators of $\mathbf{g}$ are denoted:

$$\begin{aligned} t^3_\alpha & \texttt{ of degree 3, } \alpha \in \{1, \ldots, 16\} \\ t^1_{\dot\alpha} & \texttt{ of degree 1, } \dot\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, 16\} \\ t^2_n & \texttt{ of degree 2, } n \in \{0, \ldots, 9\} \\ t^0_{[mn]} & \texttt{ of degree 0} \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

The subalgebra $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$ is generated by $t^0_{[mn]}$. The index $[mn]$ of $t^0_{[mn]}$ runs over a union of two sets: the set of choices of 2 different elements m, n from $\{0, \ldots 4\}$, and the set of choices of 2 different elements m, n from $\{5, \ldots, 9\}$. This corresponds to the split of $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$ into the direct sum of $so(1,4)$ and $so(5)$. Both t^3_α and $t^1_{\dot\alpha}$ transform as spinors of both $so(1,4)$ and $so(5)$ under the adjoint action of $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$, and t^2_m transform as vectors.

The BRST complex computing supergravity excitations on the background $AdS_5 \times S^5$ is:

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}} (U\mathbf{g} \;,\; \mathcal{P}^n) \xrightarrow{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}} \left(U\mathbf{g} \;,\; \mathcal{P}^{n+1}\right) \xrightarrow{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}} \ldots \tag{27}$$

where $\mathcal{P}^n$ is the space of polynomials functions of the order n of two independent pure spinors λ_L and λ_R:

$$\lambda^\alpha_L f_{\alpha\beta}{}^m \lambda^\beta_L = 0 \;, \quad \lambda^{\dot\alpha}_R f_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}{}^m \lambda^{\dot\beta}_R = 0 \quad \text{for } m \in \{0, \ldots, 9\} \tag{28}$$

where $f_{\bullet\bullet}{}^{\bullet}$ are the structure constants of $\mathbf{g}$, and Q_{BRST} is given by:

$$Q_{\mathrm{BRST}} = Q^L_{\mathrm{BRST}} + Q^R_{\mathrm{BRST}} \tag{29}$$

$$\texttt{where } Q^L_{\mathrm{BRST}} = \lambda^\alpha_L L(t^3_\alpha) \tag{30}$$

$$\texttt{and } Q^R_{\mathrm{BRST}} = \lambda^{\dot\alpha}_R L(t^1_{\dot\alpha}) \tag{31}$$

Here $L(t)$ is the left multiplication by t.

More generally, we can consider the cohomology with coefficients in an arbitrary representation V of $\mathbf{g}$:

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}} V \otimes_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}} \mathcal{P}^n \xrightarrow{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}} V \otimes_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}} \mathcal{P}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}} \ldots \tag{32}$$

The cohomology of this complex[3] will be denoted $H^n(Q_{\mathrm{BRST}} \;;\; V)$. With this notation, the cohomology of the "standard" BRST complex (27) is $H^n(Q_{\mathrm{BRST}} \;;\; (U\mathbf{g})')$. These complexes were studied in [9, 6, 11].

---

[3] Frobenius reciprocity implies a relation between (27) and (32), see [6].

There is a filtration by the power of λ_R, and the corresponding spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(Q^R_{\text{BRST}}\ ;\ H^q(Q^L_{\text{BRST}}\ ;\ V)) \tag{33}$$

4.2 Lie algebra formed by the covariant derivatives

Now we will introduce some infinite-dimensional Lie algebra, which we will use later to study the cohomology of the complexes (27) and (32).

Definition of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^{\text{tot}}$. We will consider the infinite-dimensional super Lie algebra generated by the following letters:

$$\nabla^L_\alpha\ ,\ \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}\ ,\ t^0_{[mn]} \tag{34}$$

where the indices α, $\dot\alpha$ and $[mn]$ run over the same sets as in (26), and with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\nabla^L_\alpha\ ,\ \nabla^L_\beta\} &= f_{\alpha\beta}{}^m\nabla^L_m && (35)\\
\{\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}\ ,\ \nabla^R_{\dot\beta}\} &= f_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}{}^m\nabla^R_m && (36)\\
\{\nabla^L_\alpha\ ,\ \nabla^R_{\dot\beta}\} &= f_{\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{[mn]}t^0_{[mn]} && (37)\\
[t^0_{[mn]}\ ,\ \nabla^L_\alpha] &= f_{[mn]\alpha}{}^\beta\nabla^L_\beta && (38)\\
[t^0_{[mn]}\ ,\ \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}] &= f_{[mn]\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta}\nabla^R_{\dot\beta} && (39)\\
[t^0_{[kl]}\ ,\ t^0_{[mn]}] &= f_{[kl][mn]}{}^{[pq]}t^0_{[pq]} && (40)
\end{aligned}$$

where Eqs. (35) and (36) are the definitions of ∇^L_m and ∇^R_m. The coefficients $f_{\bullet\bullet}{}^\bullet$ are the structure constants of $psu(2,2|4)$ in the basis (26). We will introduce the following notation for this Lie algebra:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{tot}} = \mathcal{L}^L + \mathcal{L}^R + \mathbf{g}_{\bar 0} \tag{41}$$

(the sum is as linear spaces).

Grading. We will introduce on $\mathcal{L}^{\text{tot}}$ a $\mathbf{Z}$-grading as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\deg(\nabla^L_\alpha) = 1\\
&\deg(\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}) = -1
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Definition of the ideal $I \subset \mathcal{L}^{\text{tot}}$. There is an ideal $I \subset \mathcal{L}^{\text{tot}}$ such that $\mathcal{L}^{\text{tot}}/I = \mathbf{g}$. The structure of $\mathbf{g}$ is explained in Eq. (26). Modulo I the generators $t^0_{[mn]}$ become the generators $t^0_{[mn]}$ of $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}} \subset \mathbf{g}$, ∇^L_α becomes t^3_α, $\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}$ becomes $t^1_{\dot\alpha}$, and both ∇^L_m and ∇^R_m become t^2_m. The ideal I is not invariant under the $U(1)$ which defines the $\mathbf{Z}$-grading (42), but only under $\mathbf{Z}_4 \subset U(1)$.

4.3 Lie algebra cohomology

Let us consider the relative Lie algebra cohomology[4]:

$$H^\bullet\left(\mathcal{L}^{\text{tot}}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}\ ;\ V\right) \tag{43}$$

We claim that this cohomology coincides with the BRST cohomology:

$$H^\bullet\left(\mathcal{L}^{\text{tot}}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}\ ;\ V\right) = H(Q_{\text{BRST}}\ ;\ V) \tag{44}$$

We will prove a stronger statement. Let us introduce a decreasing filtration of the Lie algebra cochain complex in the following way. We say that the cochain c belongs to $F^pC^q\left(\mathcal{L}^{\text{tot}}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}\ ;\ V\right)$ if:

$$c(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_q) = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \frac{q - \sum \deg(\xi_j)}{2} < p \tag{45}$$

In other words, in order for the cochain c to belong to F^pC^q, $c(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_q)$ should be zero when there are less than p letters ∇^R among $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_q$. In other words, the ghost dual to $\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}$ is considered "small of the order ε"; the ghost dual to ∇^R_m is considered "small of the order ε^2", *etc.* But all the "left" ghosts are of the order 1. The F^pC consists of cochains which are of the order ε^p and higher.

Similarly, the BRST complex has a filtration by the powers of λ_R.

We will construct a *filtered* quasi-isomorphism between the relative Lie algebra complex $C^\bullet(\mathcal{L}^{\text{tot}}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}};\ ; V)$ and the BRST complex. A filtered quasi-isomorphism of two filtered complexes $C^\bullet_1$ and $C^\bullet_2$ is a map of complexes which is a quasi-isomorphism $\mathbf{gr}^pC^\bullet_1 \to \mathbf{gr}^pC^\bullet_2$ for every p. A filtered quasi-isomorphism a quasi-isomorphism of complexes in the usual sense, if one forgets the grading [14, Lemma 05S3]. This can be understood from the point of view of spectral sequences; filtered quasi-isomorphism becomes an isomorphism at $E_1^{\bullet,\bullet}$.

In particular, it follows that the relative Lie algebra cohomology (43) coincides with the BRST cohomology (32).

---

[4] For introduction into the Lie algebra cohomology, see [12, 13]

Construction of filtered quasi-isomorphism. Let $C^\bullet(\mathcal{L}^{\rm tot}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}\ ;\ V)$ denote the space of cochains in the relative Lie algebra cohomology complex (43). Let us introduce the operation of restriction from the space of relative cochains to the BRST complex:

$$R\ :\ \ C^\bullet(\mathcal{L}^{\rm tot}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}\ ;\ V) \longrightarrow V\otimes \mathrm{Fun}(\lambda_L,\lambda_R) \tag{46}$$

which is defined as follows. Given the cochain $c\in C^q(\mathcal{L}^{\rm tot}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}\ ;\ V)$, we have to define $Rc\in V\otimes \mathrm{Fun}(\lambda_L,\lambda_R)$. By definition c is a polylinear function of q elements of $\mathcal{L}$:

$$\xi_1\wedge\xi_2\wedge\cdots\wedge\xi_q\mapsto c(\xi_1\wedge\xi_2\wedge\ldots\wedge\xi_q) \tag{47}$$

Elements of the linear space $\mathcal{L}^{\rm tot}/\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}$ are, by definition in Section 4.2, nested commutators of ∇^Ls plus nested commutators of ∇^Rs. We define Rc as the following function of λ_L and λ_R:

$$Rc(\lambda_L,\lambda_R) = c\left(\left(\lambda_L^\alpha\nabla^L_\alpha + \lambda^{\dot\alpha}\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}\right)^{\otimes q}\right) \tag{48}$$
$$\texttt{for}\ \ c\in C^q(\mathcal{L}^{\rm tot}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}\ ;\ V)$$

We used the following notation: $\xi^{\otimes q}$ means $\underbrace{\xi\otimes\xi\otimes\cdots\otimes\xi}_{q\text{ times}}$. We observe:

$$RQ_{\rm Lie} = Q_{\rm BRST}R \tag{49}$$

Lemma: R is a filtered quasi-isomorphism.
To prove this, we consider the action of $Q_{\rm Lie}$ on the following space:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{gr}^p C^{p+q}(\mathcal{L}^{\rm tot}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}\ ;\ V) &= \frac{F^pC^{p+q}(\mathcal{L}^{\rm tot}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}\ ;\ V)}{F^{p+1}C^{p+q}(\mathcal{L}^{\rm tot}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}\ ;\ V)} = \\ &= \bigoplus_{r=0}^{p} C^{q+r}(\mathcal{L}^L;V)\otimes_{\mathbf{g}_0}\mathbf{gr}^pC^{p-r}(\mathcal{L}^R;\mathbf{C})\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

We observe that:

1. The action of $Q_{\rm Lie}$ on $\mathbf{gr}^pC^{p+q}(\mathcal{L}^{\rm tot}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}\ ;\ V)$ coincides with the action of the operator $Q_{\rm Lie}^{[H^\bullet(\mathcal{L}^L,V)]} + Q_{\rm Lie}^{[H^\bullet(\mathcal{L}^R,\mathbf{C})]}$ on $\bigoplus_{r=0}^p C^{q+r}(\mathcal{L}^L;V)\otimes_{\mathbf{g}_0}$ $\mathbf{gr}^pC^{p-r}(\mathcal{L}^R;\mathbf{C})$

2. The restriction map R is only nonzero on the $r = 0$ term. It intertwines this complex with the left BRST complex, which has the BRST operator $Q_L = \lambda_L^\alpha t_\alpha^3$. In other words, it is a morphism of complexes:
$$\mathbf{gr}^p C^{p+q}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}\ ;\ V) \longrightarrow \mathbf{gr}^p C^{p+q}_{\mathrm{BRST}} \tag{51}$$

With these two observations, the Koszul isomorphisms:

$$H^q(\mathcal{L}^L;\ V) \simeq H^q(Q^L_{\mathrm{BRST}};\ V) \tag{52}$$
$$H^p(\mathcal{L}^R;\ \mathbf{C}) \simeq H^p(Q^R_{\mathrm{BRST}};\ \mathbf{C}) = \mathrm{Fun}(\lambda_R^{\otimes p}) \tag{53}$$

imply that $\mathbf{gr}^p R\ :\ \ \mathbf{gr}^p C^{p+q}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}\ ;\ V) \longrightarrow \mathbf{gr}^p C^{p+q}_{\mathrm{BRST}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism, *i.e.* R is a filtered quasi-isomorphism.

4.4 An analogue of the Koszul resolution

This subsection is a side remark. It is possible to glue two Koszul resolutions (one for $\mathcal{L}^L$ and another for $\mathcal{L}^R$) along $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$, as we will now explain.

Similarly to (18) the following BRST-type complex:

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}}\left(U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}\ ,\ \mathbf{C}\right) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}}&\left(U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}\ ,\ \mathcal{P}^1\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}}\left(U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}\ ,\ \mathcal{P}^2\right) \longrightarrow \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

is a $(U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}, U\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}})$-injective $(U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}, U\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}})$-exact resolution of $\mathbf{C}$ in the sense of [15]. More generally, consider an $U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}$ module V which as a representation of $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}} \subset U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}$ is a (possibly infinite) direct sum of finite-dimensional representations. Then the following complex is a $(U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}, U\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}})$-injective and $(U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}, U\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}})$-exact resolution of a $U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}$-module V:

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}}\left(U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}\ ,\ V\right) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}}&\left(U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}\ ,\ \mathcal{P}^1 \otimes V\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}}\left(U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}\ ,\ \mathcal{P}^2 \otimes V\right) \longrightarrow \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Here $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}}(U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}\ ,\ \mathcal{P}^n \otimes V)$ means the space of functions $f(\xi, \lambda_L, \lambda_R)$, $\xi \in U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}$, polynomial of degree n in the pure spinors λ_L, λ_R, with values in V and $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$-covariant in the sense that, for $\eta_0 \in \mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$:

$$f(\eta_0\xi, \lambda_L, \lambda_R) + f(\xi, [\eta_0, \lambda_L], \lambda_R) + f(\xi, \lambda_L, [\eta_0, \lambda_R]) = -\rho(\eta_0) f(\xi, \lambda_L, \lambda_R) \tag{56}$$

The action of the differential is:

$$(df)(\xi,\lambda_L,\lambda_R) \;=\; \lambda_L^{\alpha} f(\nabla^L_{\alpha}\xi,\lambda_L,\lambda_R) + \lambda_R^{\dot\alpha} f(\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}\xi,\lambda_L,\lambda_R) \tag{57}$$

The each term of the complex is a representation of $U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}$; for $\eta \in \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}$ the action of η on f is[5]:

$$(\eta.f)(\xi,\lambda_L,\lambda_R) = f(\xi\eta,\lambda_L,\lambda_R) \tag{58}$$

Proof Being $(U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}, U\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0})$-injective follows from Section 1 of [15]. Note that every term of (55), as a representation of $U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}$, is a direct sum of finite-dimensional representations of $\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}$. This implies that the kernel and image of every differential is a direct $\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}$-submodule as required in [15]. It remains to prove the exactness. Let us consider the filtration by the powers of λ_R. On the associated graded complex, we have the following isomorphism of linear spaces:

$$\mathbf{gr}^p\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}}\left(U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}\;,\;\mathcal{P}^n\right) \;=\; \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(U\mathcal{L}^{L}\;,\;\mathcal{P}_L^{n-p}\right)\otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(U\mathcal{L}^{R}\;,\;\mathcal{P}_R^{p}\right) \tag{59}$$

The differential acts as Q_L:

$$(Q_L f)(\xi,\lambda_L,\lambda_R) \;=\; \lambda_L^{\alpha} f(\nabla^L_{\alpha}\xi,\lambda_L,\lambda_R) \tag{60}$$

It only acts on the $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(U\mathcal{L}^{L}\;,\;\mathcal{P}_L^{n-p}\right)$ in (59), the $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(U\mathcal{L}^{R}\;,\;\mathcal{P}_R^{p}\right)$ being "inert". The action on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(U\mathcal{L}^{L}\;,\;\mathcal{P}_L^{n-p}\right)$ is the same as the Koszul complex of $U\mathcal{L}^L$, and therefore is exact.

4.5 Reduction to the cohomology of the ideal $I \subset \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}$

The following construction works for an arbitrary completely reducible representation A of $\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}$. Given such an A, let us consider $H^n(Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}\;;\;V)$ in the special case:

$$V = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(U\mathbf{g}\otimes_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}} A\;,\;\mathbf{C}\right) \tag{61}$$

According to Section 4.3 $H^n(Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}\;;\;V)$ is equivalent to $H^n(\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}\;;\;\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}\;;\;V)$, which in the case (61) is the same as $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{(U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}},\,U\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0})}(U\mathbf{g}\otimes_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}} A\;;\;\mathbf{C})$ [15]. Consider the following complex of $U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}$-modules:

$$\begin{aligned} \ldots \longrightarrow U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}\otimes_{\mathbf{g}_0}(\Lambda^2 I\otimes_{\mathbf{C}} A) \longrightarrow U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}\otimes_{\mathbf{g}_0}(I\otimes_{\mathbf{C}} A) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}\otimes_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}} A \longrightarrow U\mathbf{g}\otimes_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar 0}} A \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

---

[5] Our choices to use left *vs.* right actions are opposite to [15].

Here the action of $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$ on $\Lambda^p I \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} A$ is the sum of the adjoint action on I and the action on A. The complex (62) is a $(U\mathcal{L}^{\rm tot},\ U\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}})$-projective and $(U\mathcal{L}^{\rm tot},\ U\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}})$-exact resolution of $U\mathbf{g} \otimes_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}} A$ as a $U\mathcal{L}^{\rm tot}$-module, in the sense of [15]; see Appendix A. Therefore:

$$H^n\left(\mathcal{L}^{\rm tot}\ ;\ \mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}\ ;\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(U\mathbf{g}\otimes_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}} A\ ,\ \mathbf{C}\right)\right) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}}\left(A\ ,H^n(I)\right) \tag{63}$$

Geometrical interpretation Consider the case when A is a finite-dimensional representation. With V defined by (61) the BRST complex of (32) is:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}}\left(U\mathbf{g}\otimes_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}} A\ ,\ \mathcal{P}^{\bullet}\right) \tag{64}$$

Geometrically, this is the space of A'-valued functions $f^a(g,\lambda_3,\lambda_1)$ where the index a enumerates a basis of A', such that for $h \in G_{\bar{0}}$:

$$f^a(hg,\ h\lambda_3 h^{-1},\ h\lambda_1 h^{-1}) = f^a(g,\ \lambda_3,\lambda_1) \tag{65}$$

$$f^a(gh,\ \lambda_3,\lambda_1) = f^b(g,\ \lambda_3,\lambda_1)\rho^a_b(h) \tag{66}$$

More precisely, this is the space of *Taylor series* of sections of the pure spinor bundle over $AdS_5\times S^5$; the universal enveloping algebra is the space of *finite* linear combinations, *i.e.* we do not care about the convergence of the Taylor series f. Equation (65) says that f is a section of a bundle over the homogeneous space. On the other hand, Eq. (66) requires that f transform in a fixed representation A' under the group $G_{\bar{0}}$ of *global rotations* around $g = \mathbf{1}$.

The space of Taylor series, as a representation of the global rotations $G_{\bar{0}}$, is the direct sum of infinitely many finite-dimensional representations:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}}\left(U\mathbf{g}\ ,\ \mathcal{P}^{\bullet}\right) = \bigoplus_A A\otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}}\left(U\mathbf{g}\otimes_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}} A\ ,\ \mathcal{P}^{\bullet}\right) \tag{67}$$

Therefore (63) implies that:

$$H^n\left(\ Q_{\rm BRST}\ ,\ (U\mathbf{g})'\ \right) = H^n(I) \tag{68}$$

Action of the global symmetries Notice that $\mathbf{g}$ naturally acts on $H^m(I)$. This corresponds to the right action of $\mathbf{g}$ on the BRST complex (27), *i.e.* to the global symmetries of the $AdS_5\times S^5$ sigma-model.

4.6 Ghost number 1: global symmetry currents

The elements of $H^1(Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}\ ;\ (U\mathbf{g})') = H^1(I)$ correspond to the global symmetry currents of the σ-model [16, 10, 17]. There are finitely many global symmetries. We have:

$$H^1(I) = \left(\frac{I}{[I,I]}\right)' \tag{69}$$

We will now show that $\frac{I}{[I,I]}$ is a *finite-dimensional* representation of $\mathbf{g}$, actually the adjoint representation of $\mathbf{g}$.

Special notations for summation over repeating indices. As already introduced in (26), the index m enumerates the basis of the vector representation of $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}} = so(1,4) \oplus so(5)$, and runs from 0 to 9; more precisely, $m \in \{0,\ldots,4\}$ enumerates vectors of $so(1,4)$, and $m \in \{5,\ldots,9\}$ vectors of $so(5)$. For a vector v^m we denote:

$$v^{\overline{m}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} v^m \ \texttt{if}\ \ m \in \{0,\ldots,1\} \\ -v^m \ \texttt{if}\ \ m \in \{5,\ldots,9\} \end{array} \right. \tag{70}$$

For two vectors v^m and w^m we denote:

$$\begin{aligned} v^m w^m &= v^0 w^0 - \sum_{i=1}^{9} v^i w^i \\ v^m w^{\overline{m}} &= v^0 w^0 - \sum_{i=1}^{4} v^i w^i + \sum_{i=5}^{9} v^i w^i \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Proposition. As a representation of $\mathbf{g}$, $\frac{I}{[I,I]}$ is generated by the following objects[6] :

$$T^2_m = \nabla^L_m - \nabla^R_m \tag{72}$$

$$T^0_{[mn]} = [\nabla^L_m, \nabla^L_n] - [\nabla^R_m, \nabla^R_n] \tag{73}$$

$$Z^L_\alpha = \nabla^L_\alpha - \frac{1}{10}[\ \nabla^L_{\overline{m}}\ ,\ [\nabla^L_m\ ,\ \nabla^L_\alpha]\ ] \tag{74}$$

$$Z^R_{\dot\alpha} = \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha} - \frac{1}{10}[\ \nabla^R_{\overline{m}}\ ,\ [\nabla^R_m\ ,\ \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}]\ ] \tag{75}$$

---

[6] The coefficient $\frac{1}{10}$ depends on the choice of normalization for ∇_α; in our conventions $f_{\alpha\beta}{}^m = \Gamma^m_{\alpha\beta}$, and the projection $\mathrm{pr}(\nabla_m)$ of ∇_m to $\mathbf{g}$ satisfies: $(\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{pr}(\nabla_m)})^2|_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{3}}} = 1$ — no summation over m.

Notice that $[(\nabla^L_m - \nabla^R_m)\ ,\ (\nabla^L_n - \nabla^R_n)] \in [I, I]$ implies that:

$$[\nabla^L_m, \nabla^L_n] + [\nabla^R_m, \nabla^R_n] - 2t^0_{[mn]} = 0 \mod [I, I] \tag{76}$$

Similarly, $[(\nabla^L_m - \nabla^R_m), [(\nabla^L_{\overline{m}} - \nabla^L_{\overline{m}}), \nabla^L_\alpha]] \in [I, I]$ implies that:

$$\nabla^L_\alpha - \frac{1}{10} f_\alpha{}^{m\dot\alpha}[\nabla^R_{\overline{m}}, \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}] = -Z^L_\alpha \mod [I, I] \tag{77}$$

We will write "$\equiv 0$" instead of "$= 0 \mod [I, I]$".

The $(30|32)$-dimensional linear space generated by $T^2_m, T^0_{[mn]}, Z^L_\alpha, Z^R_{\dot\alpha}$ is closed under the action of $\mathbf{g}$. It must be the adjoint representation of $\mathbf{g}$. For example, let us consider $\{\nabla^L_\alpha\ ,\ Z^L_\beta\}$. Modulo $[I, I]$ this is same as $\{[\nabla^R_m\ ,\ \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}]\ ,\ Z^L_\beta\}$, and using (37), (38) and (39) this is proportional to T_m.

Proof of the proposition. Let J denote the subspace of $I/[I, I]$ generated by the action of $\mathbf{g}$ on (72), (73), (74) and (75). We have to prove that $J = I$. Let us consider some linear combination of commutators of ∇^L_α, for example:

$$\sum_{\vec\alpha} C^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_q}[\nabla^L_{\alpha_1}, \{\nabla^L_{\alpha_2}, \ldots [\nabla^L_{\alpha_{q-2}}, \{\nabla^L_{\alpha_{q-1}}, \nabla^L_{\alpha_q}\}] \ldots\}] \tag{78}$$

Suppose that the coefficients C are such that this expression belongs to I. We will prove that it also belongs to J, using the induction in q — the number of commutators. Suppose that for $q < n$, all such expressions lie in J. We will prove that for $q = n$, (78) is also in J.

Notice that:

$$\sum_{\vec\alpha} C^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_5}[\nabla^L_{\alpha_1}, \{\nabla^L_{\alpha_2}, \ldots \{\nabla^L_{\alpha_{q-1}}, \left(\nabla^L_{\alpha_q} - \frac{1}{10} f_{\alpha_q}{}^{\overline{m}\beta}[\nabla^R_m, \nabla^R_{\dot\beta}]\right)\}] \ldots\}] \in J \tag{79}$$

because $\nabla^L_\alpha - \frac{1}{10} f_\alpha{}^{\overline{m}\beta}[\nabla^R_m, \nabla^R_{\dot\beta}] \in J$. Therefore, it remains to prove that the following expression belongs to J:

$$\sum_{\vec\alpha} C^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_5}[\nabla^L_{\alpha_1}, \{\nabla^L_{\alpha_2}, \ldots \{\nabla^L_{\alpha_{q-1}}, f_{\alpha_q}{}^{\overline{m}\beta}[\nabla^R_m, \nabla^R_{\dot\beta}]\}]\}] \tag{80}$$

(notice that it automatically belongs to I). When we commute ∇^R with ∇^L, the number of commutators drops and we are left with $q - 4$ commutators. This provides the step of the induction.

Calculation of $\{\nabla^L_\alpha\ ,\ Z^R_{\dot\alpha}\}$ and $\{\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}\ ,\ Z^L_\alpha\}$. Here we will prove that both $\{\nabla^L_\alpha\ ,\ Z^R_{\dot\alpha}\}$ and $\{\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}\ ,\ Z^L_\alpha\}$ are proportional to $f_{\alpha\dot\alpha}{}^{[mn]}T^0_{[mn]}$, and $[\nabla_m, T^2_n]$ is proportional to $f_{mn}{}^{[pq]}T^0_{[pq]}$. Let us define ∇^R_α and $\nabla^L_{\dot\alpha}$ so that:

$$[\nabla^L_m, \nabla^L_\alpha] = f_{m\alpha}{}^{\dot\alpha}\nabla^L_{\dot\alpha} \tag{81}$$

$$[\nabla^R_m, \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}] = f_{m\dot\alpha}{}^{\alpha}\nabla^R_\alpha \tag{82}$$

That the RHS of (81) is proportional to $f_{m\alpha}{}^{\dot\alpha}$ and the RHS of (82) is proportional to $f_{m\dot\alpha}{}^{\alpha}$ follows from (35) and (36).

To calculate $\{\nabla^L_\alpha\ ,\ Z^R_{\dot\alpha}\}$, $\{\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}\ ,\ Z^L_\alpha\}$ and $[\nabla_m, T^2_n]$ we start with the following observation:

$$\{\nabla^L_\alpha\ ,\ Z^R_{\dot\alpha}\} + \{\nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}\ ,\ Z^L_\alpha\} \equiv 0 \tag{83}$$

This follows from:

$$0 \equiv \{\nabla^L_\alpha - \nabla^R_\alpha\ ,\ \nabla^L_{\dot\alpha} - \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}\} = \{\nabla^L_\alpha\ ,\ \nabla^L_{\dot\alpha}\} + \{\nabla^R_\alpha\ ,\ \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha}\} - 2t^0_{\alpha\dot\alpha} \tag{84}$$

Also notice:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{[\nabla^L_m, \nabla^L_{\dot\beta}]\ ,\ \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha} - \nabla^L_{\dot\alpha}\} \ \equiv f_{m\dot\beta}{}^{\beta}\{\nabla^L_\beta\ ,\ \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha} - \nabla^L_{\dot\alpha}\} \ = \\ &= [\nabla^L_m\ ,\ \{\nabla^L_{\dot\beta}\ ,\ \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha} - \nabla^L_{\dot\alpha}\}] \ - \ \{\nabla^L_{\dot\beta}\ ,\ [\nabla^L_m\ ,\ \nabla^R_{\dot\alpha} - \nabla^L_{\dot\alpha}]\} \ \equiv \\ &\equiv \ - f_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{n}[\nabla^L_m\ ,\ \nabla^L_n - \nabla^R_n] \ - \ f_{m\dot\alpha}{}^{\gamma}\{\nabla^L_{\dot\beta}\ ,\ \nabla^R_\gamma - \nabla^L_\gamma\} \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

This implies:

$$f_{m\dot\beta}{}^{\beta}\{\nabla^L_\beta\ ,\ Z^R_{\dot\alpha}\} - f_{m\dot\alpha}{}^{\gamma}\{\nabla^R_{\dot\beta}\ ,\ Z^L_\gamma\} = -f_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{n}[\nabla^L_m\ ,\ \nabla^L_n - \nabla^R_n] \tag{86}$$

Similarly:

$$f_{m\beta}{}^{\dot\beta}\{\nabla^R_{\dot\beta}\ ,\ Z^L_\alpha\} - f_{m\alpha}{}^{\dot\gamma}\{\nabla^L_\beta\ ,\ Z^R_{\dot\gamma}\} = -f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{n}[\nabla^R_m\ ,\ \nabla^R_n - \nabla^L_n] \tag{87}$$

Taking into account (83), we get the following system of equations for $X_{\alpha\dot\alpha} = \{\nabla^L_\alpha\ ,\ Z^R_{\dot\alpha}\}$ and $X_{mn} = [\nabla^L_m\ ,\ \nabla^L_n - \nabla^R_n]$:

$$2f_{m(\dot\alpha|}{}^{\gamma}X_{\gamma|\dot\beta)} \ + \ f_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}{}^{n}X_{mn} \ = \ 0 \tag{88}$$

$$2f_{m(\alpha}{}^{\dot\gamma}X_{\beta)\dot\gamma} \ + \ f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{n}X_{mn} \ = \ 0 \tag{89}$$

This system of equations has the following solution, which defines $T^0_{[pq]}$:

$$X_{\alpha\dot\alpha} = f_{\alpha\dot\alpha}{}^{[pq]}\, T^0_{[pq]} \tag{90}$$

$$X_{mn} = -\, f_{mn}{}^{[pq]}\, T^0_{[pq]} \tag{91}$$

We have to prove that there are no other solutions. Let us use the identity:

$$f_m{}^{\alpha\beta} f_{\alpha\beta}{}^n = 16\ \delta^{\overline{n}}_m \tag{92}$$

Contracting (88) and (89) with $f^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}{}_{\overline{k}}$ and $f^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\overline{k}}$ we get:

$$2 f_{m\dot\alpha}{}^{\gamma} f_{\overline{k}}{}^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} X_{\gamma\dot\beta} \ +\ 16\ X_{mk}\ = 0 \tag{93}$$

$$2 f_{m\alpha}{}^{\dot\gamma} f_{\overline{k}}{}^{\alpha\beta} X_{\beta\dot\gamma} \ +\ 16\ X_{mk}\ = 0 \tag{94}$$

This implies:

$$f_{m\dot\alpha}{}^{\gamma} f_{\overline{k}}{}^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} X_{\gamma\dot\beta} + f_{\overline{k}\dot\alpha}{}^{\gamma} f_m{}^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} X_{\gamma\dot\beta} = 0 \tag{95}$$

Let us assume that the pair (m, k) is such that:

either $m \in \{0, \ldots, 4\}$ and $k \in \{5, \ldots, 9\}$

or $m \in \{5, \ldots, 9\}$ and $k \in \{0, \ldots, 4\}$;

then (95) implies that for such pairs (m, k) the expression $f_{m\dot\alpha}{}^{\gamma} f_{\overline{k}}{}^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} X_{\gamma\dot\beta}$ is symmetric under the exchange $m \leftrightarrow k$. But X_{mk} is always antisymmetric under such an exchange. Therefore Eq. (93) implies that X_{mk} is only nonzero when either both m and k belong to $\{0, \ldots, 4\}$, or both m and k belong to $\{5, \ldots, 9\}$. This means that X_{mk} is proportional to $f_{mk}{}^{\bullet}$, and we can define $T^0_{[pq]}$ from (90). Then (88) gives:

$$2 f_{m(\dot\alpha|}{}^{\gamma} \left(X_{\gamma|\dot\beta)} \ -\ f_{\gamma|\dot\beta)}{}^{[pq]} Y_{[pq]} \right) = 0 \tag{96}$$

which implies that $X_{\alpha\dot\alpha} \ =\ f_{\alpha\dot\alpha}{}^{[pq]} Y_{[pq]}$.

To summarize, $\frac{I}{[I,I]}$ is a finite-dimensional space, the adjoint representation of $\mathbf{g}$.

4.7 Ghost number 2: vertex operators

The cohomology group $H^n(I)$ is a linear space dual[7] to the homology $H_n(I)$. The vertex operators correspond to $H^2(I) = (H_2(I))'$. The linear space

---

[7] This is the Poincaré duality, Section VI.3 of [12].

$H_2(I)$ consists of the expressions of the form:

$$a = \sum_i x_i \wedge y_i \tag{97}$$

$$\sum_i [x_i, y_i] = 0 \tag{98}$$

where x_i and y_i are elements of I, with the equivalence relations:

$$a \;\simeq\; a + [x,y]\wedge z + [y,z]\wedge x + [z,x]\wedge y \tag{99}$$

We do not have the complete analysis at the ghost number two. It must be true that $H_2(I)$ correspond to the space of gauge-invariant[8] operators at a marked point in $AdS_5 \times S^5$. This is an infinite-dimensional representation of $\mathbf{g}$. It must be true that $Z^L_\alpha \wedge Z^R_{\dot\alpha}$ corresponds to the Ramond-Ramond bispinor, and $H_{klm} = T^2_{[k} \wedge T^0_{lm]}$ — to the NSNS 3-form field strength.

4.8 Higher ghost numbers

At the ghost numbers higher than two, the BRST cohomology should be trivial. Therefore, it should be true that $H_n(I) = 0$ for $n > 2$.

4.9 Comment on the cohomology of $\mathcal{L}^L$

Remember that $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}} = \mathcal{L}^L + \mathcal{L}^R + \mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}$. Note that $\mathcal{L}^L$ and $\mathcal{L}^R$ are both identical to the Yang-Mills algebra $\mathcal{L}$ defined in Section 2.2. There is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}^L$, which we will denote I^L, defined by the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I^L \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^L \longrightarrow \mathbf{g} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{100}$$

Because of the Koszul duality, $H^n(\mathcal{L}^L)$ is the same as homogeneous polynomials of degree n of λ_L. On the other hand, we can calculate it using the spectral sequence, $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathbf{g}; H^q(I^L))$. We feel that $H^n(I^L)$ for $n > 1$ should be zero. If this is true, then:

$$H^p(\mathbf{g}) \oplus H^{p-1}(\mathbf{g}, H^1(I^L)) \;= \mathcal{P}^p_L \tag{101}$$

---

[8] Gauge invariance means is the diffeomorphism invariance plus various gauge symmetries of the Type IIB SUGRA

— the space of polynomials of degree p of the pure spinor λ_L. Notice that $H^p(\mathbf{g})$ is the same as the de Rham cohomology of $S^3 \times S^5 \times S^7$ [13]. This, in particular, implies that $H^{p-1}(\mathbf{g};\ H^1(I^L))$ is nonzero for infinitely many values of p. This would be impossible if $\mathbf{g}$ were a classical Lie algebra, but in our case $\mathbf{g}$ is a Lie superalgebra $\mathbf{psu}(2,2|4)$; some of the ghosts are bosonic.

4.10 Flat space limit

In flat space $\mathcal{L}^{\text{tot}} = \mathcal{L}^L \oplus \mathcal{L}^R$.

Ghost number 1. The space $\frac{I}{[I,I]}$ is generated by $\nabla^L_m - \nabla^R_m$, $[\nabla^L_m\ ,\ \nabla^L_n]$, W^α_L and $W^{\dot\alpha}_R$. We observe:

$$[\nabla^L_m\ ,\ \nabla^L_n] = \ -[\nabla^R_m\ ,\ \nabla^R_n] \mod [I,I] \tag{102}$$

As a representation of **susy**, this space should be the dual to **susy+Lorentz**. We observe:

$$\{\nabla_{(\alpha}\ ,\ \Gamma^m_{\beta)\gamma}W^\gamma_L\} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^n_{\alpha\beta}[\nabla^L_n\ ,\ \nabla^L_m] \tag{103}$$

As explained in [7], Eq. (103) implies that $\nabla_\alpha W^\gamma_L$ is proportional to $(\Gamma_{mn})^\gamma_\alpha[\nabla^L_n\ ,\ \nabla^L_m]$.

Ghost number 2. We do not have the complete analysis at the ghost number two. The RR should correspond to $W^\alpha_L \wedge W^{\dot\alpha}_R$. The NSNS 3-form field strength should correspond to:

$$H_{klm} = (\nabla^L_{[k} - \nabla^R_{[k}) \wedge [\nabla^L_l, \nabla^L_{m]}] \tag{104}$$

The following expression

$$[\nabla^L_k, \nabla^L_l] \wedge [\nabla^R_m, \nabla^R_n] + [\nabla^L_m, \nabla^L_n] \wedge [\nabla^R_k, \nabla^R_l] \tag{105}$$

should correspond to a linear combination of the curvature tensor and the second derivatives of the dilaton.

Mismatch. It turns out that the linearized SUGRA equations of motion are not satisfied, because $\nabla^k H_{klm} \neq 0$. Using the identities from Appendix B of [7], we derive using (104):

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^k H_{klm} = & -\frac{2}{3}[\nabla^L_k, \nabla^L_l] \wedge [\nabla^L_k, \nabla^L_m] + \frac{1}{3}(\nabla^L_k - \nabla^R_k) \wedge [\nabla^L_k, [\nabla^L_l, \nabla^L_m]] + \\ & + \frac{1}{3}(\nabla^L_{[l} - \nabla^R_{[l}) \wedge \Gamma_{m]\alpha\beta}\{W^\alpha_L, W^\beta_L\} \end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

However, the derivatives of $\nabla^k H_{klm}$ are all zero[9]:

$$\nabla_n \nabla^k H_{klm} = 0 \tag{107}$$

therefore this is a "zero mode effect". Moreover, we have:

$$\nabla^k H_{klm} = \nabla_{[l} A_{m]} \tag{108}$$

$$\texttt{where} \quad A_m = \frac{2}{3}(\nabla^L_n - \nabla^R_n) \wedge [\nabla^L_n, \nabla^L_m] + \frac{1}{3}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta m} W^\alpha_L \wedge W^\beta_L \tag{109}$$

Notice that $A_m \in H_2(I)$; we would be able to identify A_m with the first derivative of the dilaton if $\nabla_{[l} A_{m]}$ were zero; but Eqs. (107) and (108) imply that instead of being zero $\nabla_{[l} A_{m]}$ is a "constant". This is probably not surprizing. We know from [17] that the low momentum states are not correctly reproduced as the cohomology of the "naive" BRST complex (27). Therefore we do expect a mismatch in the zero mode sector of the space of local operators.

A Exactness of (62)

This is similar to the proof of the exactness of the standard Koszul resolution of the Lie algebra in [12]. For any Lie algebra L, the universal enveloping UL is filtered so that $\mathbf{gr}^p UL = F^p UL / F^{p-1} UL = S^p L$. The differential in our complex acts in such a way, that we can consistently define:

$$\begin{aligned} \ldots \longrightarrow F^{p-2} U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}} \otimes_{\mathbf{g}_0} (\Lambda^2 I \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} A) \longrightarrow F^{p-1} U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}} \otimes_{\mathbf{g}_0} (I \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} A) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow F^p U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}} \otimes_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}} A \longrightarrow F^p U\mathbf{g} \otimes_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}} A \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

---

[9] Since the homology of I is I-invariant, we can calculate either $\nabla^L_n \nabla^k H_{klm}$ or $\nabla^R_n \nabla^k H_{klm}$; it is easier to calculate $\nabla^R_n \nabla^k H_{klm}$

This defines a series of complexes $d : X^p_n \to X^p_{n-1}$ parametrized by an integer p, where $X^p_{-1} = F^p U\mathbf{g} \otimes_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}} A$, $X^p_0 = F^p U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}} \otimes_{\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}} A$, and $X^p_n = F^{p-n} U\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}} \otimes_{\mathbf{g}_0} (\Lambda^n I \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} A)$ for $n > 0$. At $p = 0$ we get the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0 \tag{111}$$

On the other hand, the factor-complex X^p/X^{p-1} is:

$$\begin{aligned} \ldots \longrightarrow S^{p-2}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}/\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \Lambda^2 I \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} A \longrightarrow S^{p-1}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}/\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} I \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} A \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow S^p\left(\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}/\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} A \longrightarrow S^p\left(\mathbf{g}/\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} A \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

This is exact, being the de Rham complex of the linear space I times functions of additional “inert” variables corresponding to a complement to $\mathbf{g}_{\bar{0}} + I$ in $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{tot}}$. By induction, the complexes X^p are exact for all values of p, and therefore the complex (62) is exact.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation under the project 14.740.11.0347 “Integrable and algebro-geometric structures in string theory and quantum field theory”, and in part by the RFFI grant 10-02-01315 “String theory and integrable systems”.

References

[1] N. Berkovits, *Super-Poincare covariant quantization of the superstring*, *JHEP* **04** (2000) 018 [`hep-th/0001035`].

[2] A. L. Gorodentsev, A. S. Khoroshkin, and A. N. Rudakov, *On syzygies of highest weight orbits*, `arXiv/math/0602316` .

[3] M. Movshev and A. S. Schwarz, *On maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B681** (2004) 324–350 doi: **10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2003.12.033**, [`arXiv/hep-th/0311132`].

[4] M. Movshev and A. S. Schwarz, *Algebraic structure of Yang-Mills theory*, `arXiv/hep-th/0404183` .

[5] M. Movshev and A. S. Schwarz, *Supersymmetric Deformations of Maximally Supersymmetric Gauge Theories*, `arXiv/0910.0620` .

[6] A. Mikhailov, *Symmetries of massless vertex operators in AdS(5) x S**5*, *Journal of Geometry and Physics* (2011) doi: **10.1017/j.geomphys.2011.09.002**, [`arXiv/0903.5022`].

[7] C. R. Mafra, *Superstring Scattering Amplitudes with the Pure Spinor Formalism*, `arXiv/arXiv:0902.1552` .

[8] N. Berkovits and P. S. Howe, *Ten-dimensional supergravity constraints from the pure spinor formalism for the superstring*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B635** (2002) 75–105 [`hep-th/0112160`].

[9] N. Berkovits and O. Chandia, *Superstring vertex operators in an ads(5) x s(5) background*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B596** (2001) 185–196 [`hep-th/0009168`].

[10] N. Berkovits, *Quantum consistency of the superstring in AdS(5) x S(5) background*, *JHEP* **03** (2005) 041 [`hep-th/0411170`].

[11] A. Mikhailov, *Finite dimensional vertex*, *JHEP* **1112** (2011) 5 doi: **10.1007/JHEP12(2011)005**, [`arXiv/1105.2231`].

[12] A. W. Knapp, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Cohomology.* Princeton University Press, 1988.

[13] B. L. Feigin and D. B. Fuchs, *Cohomology of Lie groups and algebras (in Russian).* VINITI t. 21, 1988.

[14] T. Stacks Project Authors, "*Stacks Project.*" `http://math.columbia.edu/algebraic_geometry/stacks-git`.

[15] G. Hochschild, *Relative homological algebra*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **82** (1956) 246–269.

[16] N. Berkovits, *BRST cohomology and nonlocal conserved charges*, *JHEP* **02** (2005) 060 [`hep-th/0409159`].

[17] O. A. Bedoya, L. Bevilaqua, A. Mikhailov, and V. O. Rivelles, *Notes on beta-deformations of the pure spinor superstring in AdS(5) x S(5)*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B848** (2011) 155–215 doi: **10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.02.012**, [`arXiv/1005.0049`].